

**Attachment A: Text of December 5, 1997 LLC Letter to Perot
Page 3 of 3**

**Western Region Telephone Number Portability, LLC
Southeast Number Portability Administration Company, LLC**

**cc: Chairs/Presidents of the three Regional LLCs
David Lee, Esq. (Hughes & Luce)**

Attachment B: Text of December 15, 1997 LLC Letter to Perot
Page 1 of 2

December 15, 1997

Via Facsimile, Email, and Overnight Delivery

John Bavis
Perot Systems Corporation
1801 Robert Fulton Drive, Suite 200
Reston, VA 20191

Dear John:

We are writing to you on a joint, three-region basis to provide our initial response to the revised turn-up testing schedule proposed by Perot Systems at the meeting/conference call held on Wednesday, December 10, 1997. Each region's LLC has been discussing your proposal, and will continue to do so. We do not intend to renegotiate and change the Master Contract again to reflect any modifications to the obligations, software delivery dates, or testing dates contained in the current version of the Master Contract. Instead, we want to work with Perot to understand more fully when Perot will be able to deliver software loads, engage in the remaining turn-up testing with Service Providers, and meet its other obligations under the Master Contract.

We note that Section 8.4.1 of the Master Contract requires Perot to notify each LLC in writing when each testing Deliverable is completed, and then requires each LLC to notify Perot in writing of any perceived defect and/or nonconformance with the Specifications within five business days. Perot's proposed revised turn-up testing schedule does not constitute a notification under Section 8.4.1, in part because your proposal on its face makes it clear that Perot is not delivering Deliverables pursuant to the schedule in the Master Contract. Out of an abundance of caution, however, we hereby inform Perot that Perot's proposed revised turn-up testing schedule does not conform with the Specifications and delivery schedules in the Master Contract. We will respond more fully to your proposal when each LLC has completed its review and consideration of that proposal.

We also note that Section 19.2 of the Master Contract for each region provides each LLC with the right to terminate the agreement if certain conditions are not met by Perot. The first right of termination ripened on November 9, 1997. While we have not yet exercised that termination right, we want to make it clear that we have not waived that termination right. The second termination right ripens today. While we are not exercising that termination right at this time, we also want to make it clear that we are not waiving that termination right.

Sincerely yours,

Attachment B: Text of December 15, 1997 LLC Letter to Perot
Page 2 of 2

(signed)

Stephen P. Bowen

On Behalf of the Chairs/Presidents of:
West Coast Portability Services, LLC
Western Region Telephone Number Portability, LLC
Southeast Number Portability Administration Company, LLC

cc: Chairs/Presidents of the three Regional LLCs
David Lee, Esq. (Hughes & Luce)

Attachment C: December 16, 1997 LLC Status Report to NANC**STATUS OF THE PEROT SYSTEMS NPAC/SMS**

This information is provided by West Coast Portability Services, LLC, Western Region Telephone Number Portability, LLC and Southeast Number Portability Administration Company, LLC.

On December 10, 1997, a conference call was held between Perot Systems and the members of the West Coast, Western, and Southeast LLCs. The purpose of the call was for Perot Systems to provide to the LLCs the status of the NPAC/SMS. Perot Systems advised the LLCs that the negotiated delivery date of December 15, 1997, cannot be met. This slip in delivery date is due to Perot Systems' failure to provide a stable software and hardware platform.

Commercial porting cannot commence without a fully operational NPAC/SMS. Thus, late delivery of the NPAC/SMS may impact the LNP MSA schedule. The service providers are currently evaluating the effects of the delay. A report will be provided to the NANC and the FCC upon completion of that evaluation.

Attachment D: Text of December 19, 1997 Perot Letter to LLCs
Page 1 of 2

December 19, 1997

Via Facsimile, Email, and Overnight Delivery

Steve Bowen, Esq.
Blumenfeld & Cohen
4 Embarcadero Center Suite 1170
San Francisco, CA 94111

Dear Steve,

I am writing to you as the point of contact for the most recent joint LLC correspondence. As you are aware, problems with the most recent release of the software (Release 71) have impacted the schedule I outlined during the joint LLC conference call last week. We are diligently pursuing several corrective measures to bring this project back on track in the most expeditious and successful manner. The alternatives that we are currently considering are as follows:

- * terminate the Nortel subcontract and have the development and testing activities assumed by Perot Systems
- * lease or purchase Lockheed Martin's NPAC software
- * continue with Nortel and have Perot Systems assume all development and testing management activities

We are under the assumption that delivery of a fully operational NPAC in the most timely manner is the number one objective of the LLCs.

Based on this assumption, the third alternative would allow the implementation of the NPAC more quickly than the other alternatives.

I would like to discuss all of these alternatives during the joint LLC conference call scheduled for December 23, 1997.

Based on the request of the Service Providers we will not be addressing the problem reports in a Load A/B structure. We are currently developing a project plan that will contain releases on a more frequent basis than the current monthly release plan. This plan will contain the assumptions, risks and contingencies in sufficient detail to demonstrate that the project commitments can be attained. A draft of this plan will be available to the LLCs prior to the conference call. The final project plan and metrics will be delivered to the LLCs on December 30, 1997.

Attachment D: Text of December 19, 1997 Perot Letter to LLCs
Page 2 of 2

Perot Systems remains committed to our obligation with each of the LLCs to deliver a functional NPAC.

Sincerely,

(signed)
John Bavis

cc: Pamela Connell
Richard Scheer
Karen Mulberry¹⁶
David Lee, Esq.
Rob Morgan, Esq.

¹⁶ Note: Karen Mulberry was Acting Chair of the Western Region LLC at the time of this letter.

Attachment E:

Number Portability Administration Center (NPAC) System and Center Readiness Limited Liability Company (LLC) Reports As of January 20, 1998

Milestones

	Northeast		Mid-Atlantic		Southeast		Southwest	
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Start	Finish
1. NPAC Data Center Operational	Complete		Complete		Complete		Complete	
2. Interoperability Testing	5-15-97	8-3-97	5-26-97	8-4-97	9-13-97	10-12-97	5-15-97	8-3-97
3. Turn-up Testing	5-30-97	9-18-97	7-28-97	9-10-97	9-22-97	10-12-97	9-29-97	11-19-97
4. SP to SP NPAC Testing	9-29-97	10-16-97	9-11-97	9-26-97	10-13-97	TBD	11-20-97	12-5-97
5. NPAC Ready for Intercompany Testing	10-25-97	10-25-97	10-5-97	10-5-97	TBD	TBD	1-19-98	1-19-98
6. Intercompany Testing	10-27-97	11-29-97	10-6-97	10-28-97	TBD	TBD	1-20-98	3-20-98
7. Begin Commercial Porting	11-30-97	11-30-97	10-30-97	10-30-97	TBD	TBD	3-31-98	3-31-98
8. Additional SP Added	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
9. Jeopardy Issues	None		None		See **Note		None	

	Midwest		Western		West Coast		Canada	
	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Start	Finish	Start	Finish
1. NPAC Data Center Operational	Complete		Complete		Complete		Ready for Beta Testing***	
2. Interoperability Testing	11-23-96	5-21-97	9-13-97	10-12-97	9-13-97	10-12-97	N/A	N/A
3. Turn-up Testing	5-19-97	7-25-97	9-22-97	10-12-97	9-22-97	10-12-97	11/17/97**	TBD
4. SP to SP NPAC Testing	7-25-97	8-7-97	10-13-97	TBD	10-13-97	TBD	TBD	TBD
5. NPAC Ready for Intercompany Testing	8-10-97	8-10-97	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
6. Intercompany Testing	8-11-97	9-26-97	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
7. Begin Commercial Porting	11-24-97*	11-24-97	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
8. Additional SP Added	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD	TBD
9. Jeopardy Issues	None		See **Note		See **Note		None	

* Ameritech Commercial Porting on hold pending cost recovery order.

** To date, the NPAC is not ready for Intercompany testing due to the failure of Perot Systems and its subcontractor Nortel to provide a stable software and hardware platform. Perot's latest project recovery proposal would extend SP to SP NPAC Testing through six additional software loads through 7/6/98. Perot's plan, if accepted by the West Coast, Western and Southeast region LLCs, will result in a significant impact to the FCC implementation schedule in these regions. The LLCs are currently evaluating the extent of the impact.

*** Beta Testing: Pre-Production Software Testing

Milestone Definitions

NPAC Data Center Operational - NPAC vendor data centers available to begin testing with Service Providers (SPs); all hardware, software, circuits, and personnel subsystems in place.

Interoperability Testing - Tests conducted by the Service Order Administration (SOA) developers and the Local Service Management System (LSMS) developers in conjunction with the NPAC to test and verify SOA and LSMS interfaces with the NPAC.

Turn-up Testing - Tests conducted by an individual SP in conjunction with the NPAC to comprehensively test SP SOA and LSMS functionality with the NPAC.

SP to SP NPAC Testing - Tests conducted by pairs of SPs in conjunction with the NPAC to comprehensively test and verify each SPs SOA and LSMS interface and interaction with the NPAC (does not include tests of network elements).

NPAC Ready for Intercompany Testing - Vendor ready to begin intercompany tests.

Intercompany Testing - Tests between SPs including tests of network elements. The tests include the same activities performed during the field trial conducted in the Midwest region from 8-11-97 through 9-26-97.

Begin Commercial Porting - NPAC and SPs ready to begin live porting.


Additional SP Added - Another SP not involved in initial testing begins live porting.

Jeopardy Issues - Any situation that will preclude completion of a milestone by the finish date.

EXHIBIT B


Schematic Representation of LNP Architecture Model Adopted by Commission

➤ **NPAC/SMS is REQUIRED to connect Service Provider Networks**



Legend: S.P. - Service Provider
NPAC - Number Portability Administration Center (i.e., Lockheed Martin)

➤ **NPAC/SMS is REQUIRED to connect Service Provider Networks**



Legend: S.P. - Service Provider
NPAC - Number Portability Administration Center (i.e.. Lockheed Martin)

EXHIBIT C

BELLSOUTH LNP IMPLEMENTATION MILESTONES

February 16, 1998	Build Interoperability Test System
March 1, 1998	Start Interop. Testing with DSET via dial-up facility
March 9, 1998	Complete system requirements for LSMS and AIN SMS
May 11, 1998	NPAC SMS Delivery
May 18, 1998	Start Turn-Up Testing
August 3, 1998	Preliminary Certification
August 10, 1998	Production System Test Prep
August 17, 1998	Production System Regression Testing & Final Certification
August 26, 1998	Database Clean-up in Preparation for Industry Testing
September 1, 1998	BellSouth NPAC SMS Certification, Inter-Carrier Testing Begins
September 30, 1998	Inter-Carrier Testing Completed
October 1, 1998	Begin Phase I Implementation
November 4, 1998	Complete Phase I Implementation
November 15, 1998	Begin Phase II Implementation
December 31, 1998	Complete Phase II Implementation
January 1, 1999	Begin Phase III Implementation
January 30, 1999	Complete Phase III Implementation
January 31, 1999	Begin Phase IV Implementation
March 1, 1999	Complete Phase IV Implementation
March 2, 1999	Begin Phase V Implementation
March 31, 1999	Complete Phase V Implementation

EXHIBIT C

BELLSOUTH LNP IMPLEMENTATION MILESTONES

February 16, 1998	Build Interoperability Test System
March 1, 1998	Start Interop. Testing with DSET via dial-up facility
March 9, 1998	Complete system requirements for LSMS and AIN SMS
May 11, 1998	NPAC SMS Delivery
May 18, 1998	Start Turn-Up Testing
August 3, 1998	Preliminary Certification
August 10, 1998	Production System Test Prep
August 17, 1998	Production System Regression Testing & Final Certification
August 26, 1998	Database Clean-up in Preparation for Industry Testing
September 1, 1998	BellSouth NPAC SMS Certification, Inter-Carrier Testing Begins
September 30, 1998	Inter-Carrier Testing Completed
October 1, 1998	Begin Phase I Implementation
November 4, 1998	Complete Phase I Implementation
November 15, 1998	Begin Phase II Implementation
December 31, 1998	Complete Phase II Implementation
January 1, 1999	Begin Phase III Implementation
January 30, 1999	Complete Phase III Implementation
January 31, 1999	Begin Phase IV Implementation
March 1, 1999	Complete Phase IV Implementation
March 2, 1999	Begin Phase V Implementation
March 31, 1999	Complete Phase V Implementation


AFFIDAVIT OF DOUGLAS W. MCDOUGAL

1. My name is Douglas W. McDougal. This affidavit is in support of BellSouth's petition to Extend Time for Implementation of Long Term Number Portability.
2. I currently hold the position of Director, Intelligent Services Division, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BST) and am responsible for project management of the Long Term Number Portability project and chair the interdisciplinary LNP Directors Steering Team. In these capacities, I have personal knowledge of the planning and implementation activities associated with Advanced Intelligent Network Service Control Points (AIN SCP), AIN Service Management System (AIN SMS), the LNP Gateway Operations System (LSMS), and the Southeast Region Number Portability Administration Center Service Management System (NPAC).
3. On February 10, 1998, the Southeast Number Portability Administration Company, L.L.C. gave notice of termination to our previous LNPA based on the vendor's failure to provide a stable platform to support number portability. On February 13, 1998, the Southeast L.L.C. signed a contract for provision of NPAC functionality with the new LNPA.
4. The transition from the previous LNPA to the new LNPA initiates a significant work effort within BellSouth Telecommunications. Our previous LNPA's NPAC software was built to NANC LNP software specification version 1.1. Consequently, our LNP Gateway LSMS is built to NANC specification 1.1. Additionally, the interface between our LSMS and the AIN SMS was built to NANC specification 1.1. The AIN SMS manages the critical function of updating the AIN SCPs when a customer ports their telephone number from BellSouth to another local telecommunications service provider. A diagram showing the systems relationships is attached.
5. The new LNPA is built to NANC specification 1.8, a full seven software releases beyond the functionality of our previous LNPA and beyond the functionality of the two BellSouth operations systems mentioned above, the LSMS and the AIN SMS. Consequently, BellSouth must write detailed software requirements reflecting the upgrades from seven software releases, develop the software and perform extensive network integrity and reliability testing on two very complex operations systems. Further, all of this work must be completed before NPAC certification can be completed, which is a prerequisite for initiating Phase I of long term number portability.
6. A major milestones timeline associated with the NANC Release 1.8 work plan is attached. This entire work plan is incremental to BellSouth as a result of the change in LNPA vendors. The work actually began in mid-February with the building of the LSMS to NPAC test system. We will begin our interoperability testing in March via dial-up facilities to a vendor's laboratory in New Jersey. NANC 1.8 systems

engineering and software applications development are underway for both the LSMS and the AIN SMS. Permanent T1 facilities to Chicago have been ordered with the objective of starting turn-up testing by mid-May between our LSMS, with NANC 1.8 upgrades, and the Lockheed NPAC. Turn-up testing and debugging activities will take approximately three months. We believe that this is the absolute minimum interval necessary to ensure that BellSouth and CLEC network integrity and reliability can be maintained. In the long term LNP database environment, it must be remembered that call routing is dependent on the integrity of the data in the AIN SCP database. The attached work plan takes BellSouth to final systems certification with the LNPA by September 1, 1998. At that point, we will begin inter-industry testing which will ensure that the long term LNP database process functions smoothly, from the initial request to port a number, to the final call through test to the new local service provider.

7. BellSouth systems engineering groups have investigated whether there are more expeditious avenues for delivering certified LSMS functionality as opposed to our current internal development plan. We believe that, at this juncture, introducing a new platform into our very highly integrated systems environment is extremely problematic. In addition to the interface to the LNPA NPAC, our LSMS interfaces to the previously mentioned AIN SMS, the Service Order Control System (SOCS), the Product and Services Information Management System (PSIMS) and the Customer Revenue Information Systems (CRIS). This integrated approach facilitates accurate information flow to and from the NPAC and expedites service order processing, number porting and end user billing. We do not believe that any other product is as robust as that which we are building. Further, we do not believe that the vendors of the alternative products could possibly deliver the new interfaces to our legacy systems within the timeframe necessary to test and debug them by September 1, 1998. In conclusion, we believe that the attached work plan will deliver the highest quality long term LNP database solution to market in the most expeditious manner.

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT


Douglas W. McDougal

Sworn to and subscribed to before me this
27th day of February, 1998




Notary Public

My commission expires: 12/4/98




My Commission Expires
December 4, 1998.

LNP System Components



NANC Release 1.8 Major Milestones Timeline



27 week interval for engineering, s/w development and testing

AFFIDAVIT OF ELIZABETH G. CRAIG

1. My name is Elizabeth G. Craig. This affidavit is in support of BellSouth's Petition to Extend Time for Network Implementation.
2. I currently hold the position of Manager, Interconnection Services Local Carrier Service Center Support (LCSC) with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BST). In this capacity, I am responsible for overseeing the implementation of LNP in the BST Local LCSC.
3. Specifically, BST has undertaken the following activities:
 - (1) Developed LNP training system software application to instruct LCSC on how to process CLEC Requests for LNP
 - (2) Ensured training system software application consistency with production software
 - (3) Ensured training system icon implementation on all LCSC terminals in Atlanta and Birmingham
 - (4) Provided LNP Simulator Software System for AIN SMS flows
 - (5) Provided LNP Gateway Simulator System for Number Portability Administration Center (NPAC) interface and messages
 - (6) Provided LNP Simulator System for Electronic Data Interchange (EDI) requests
 - (7) Purchased and installed hardware for Birmingham LCSC, Atlanta LCSC and BellSouth Applied Technologies
 - (8) Provided data and databases for training scenarios
 - (9) Developed training material for training system
 - (10) Developed training material for service order processing
4. I have personally supervised the above referenced activities and can verify that BellSouth is striving to meet the Commission's LNP implementation schedule.

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Elizabeth G. Craig
Elizabeth G. Craig
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me this
21st day of January, 1998

Nancy F. Woodard
Notary Public


My commission expires:

NANCY F. WOODARD
Notary Public, Cobb County, Georgia
My Commission Expires April 2, 1998

AFFIDAVIT OF F. H. HERNDON, JR.

1. My name is F. H. Herndon, Jr. This affidavit is in support of BellSouth's Petition to Extend Time for Network Implementation.
2. I currently hold the position of Director with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. My responsibilities as LNP Program Manager include overseeing the activities of the Program Management Office of BellSouth Information Technology Organization (ITO).
3. The BellSouth ITO established the Program Management Office during 1997 for the purpose of overseeing all activities required to implement LNP in BellSouth Operational Support Systems. Five staff members were moved into the Program Office from May through September of 1997. The Program Management Office serves as a focal point for the coordination and implementation of system changes required to implement LNP. The Program Management Office coordinated the project schedules of LNP-impacted systems to ensure their operational readiness for BellSouth to implement LNP.
4. The Program Office oversaw the budgets and completion of 74 application release installations across 65 different applications. LNP application development and installation activities occurred over the entire 1997 period.
5. Additionally, the Program Office provided regular input to the various BellSouth departments and officers on LNP status. Daily, weekly and monthly reports were produced to provide management oversight of the LNP program to ensure LNP Program success. The Program Office tracked 10 standard project milestones for the LNP affected applications.
6. Project teams were established across ITO to manage LNP specific application activities including requirements management, application development, application installation and support. The teams participated in LNP rollout sessions within ITO to communicate LNP impacts and schedules.
7. I can attest to the validity of the above referenced activities. Furthermore, I can verify that BellSouth is striving to meet guidelines of the Commission's implementation schedule.

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT



F. H. Herndon, Jr.
LNP Program Manager
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me this
27th day of February, 1998


Notary Public

My commission expires:

Notary Public, Fulton County, Georgia
My Commission Expires Jan. 24, 1999

AFFIDAVIT OF SHERRY EATHERLY

1. My name is Sherry C. Eatherly. This affidavit is in support of BellSouth's Petition to Extend Time for Network Implementation.
2. I currently hold the position of Manager, Intelligent Services Division, BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BST). In this capacity I have personal knowledge of the following BST long-term database method number portability (LNP) activities in the Southeast NPAC region.
3. Service Control points (SCPs) are being installed to provide the LNP databases for querying during call processing. BST will utilize 16 SCP pairs dedicated to LNP.
4. LNP application software must be installed and activated in these SCP pairs before switches can activate querying. Software for LNP has been developed by BST Science & Technology as follows: development of Release 1, which supports all switch types in the Atlanta (Phase I) MSA was complete on May 31, 1997; software testing was then conducted in the BellSouth Technology Assessment Center (BTAC) and approved for load and activation in the first SCP pair on July 16, 1997. Release 2, which provides additional support for switch types introduced in Phase II MSAs, was complete on October 10, 1997 and will load in SCPs beginning February 2, 1998. BellSouth will complete installation and software load in all SCPs according to the Commission's current LNP Implementation Schedule. The status of BST SCPs to serve all Southeast Region MSAs is as follows:

As of January 31, 1998, 100% of the Phase I SCP pairs were installed, and 75% have been loaded with the required software. 100% of the Phase II SCP pairs were installed, and 50% were loaded with the required software. 100% of the Phase III SCP pairs were installed, and 33% were loaded with the required software. SCP Pairs for Phase IV will be installed and software will be loaded by July 15, 1998, and for Phase V by October 15, 1998.

5. Translations must be performed in each switch in order to activate LNP software. This work is followed by internal testing and activation of LNP querying. BellSouth will activate querying in all 21 MSAs according to the Commission's current LNP Implementation schedule. As of January 31, 1998, 33 switches in the Atlanta area are querying on nearly every intraMSA call. (These 33 switches represent 39% of BellSouth's Atlanta MSA switches, and 5% of the total number of BellSouth switches in Phases I-V.) On a typical business day, 900,000 queries are being processed during the busy hour and 10 million queries are being processed during the 24 hour period. The remaining switches in the Atlanta MSA will activate querying by March 31, 1998. Switches in Phase II - V MSAs will activate querying by May 15, June 30, September 30, and December 31, 1998.

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT

Sherry C. Eatherly
Sherry C. Eatherly

Sworn to and subscribed to before me this
26th day of February, 1998

Pamela J. Williams
Notary Public

My commission expires:


Notary Public, Alabama, State at Large
My Commission Expires 1-16-99
Bonded by Firemen's Insurance
Company of Newark, NJ

AFFIDAVIT OF MICHAEL F. DONZE

1. My name is Michael F. Donze. This affidavit is in support of BellSouth's Petition to Extend Time for Network Implementation.
2. I currently hold the position of Manager, Infrastructure Planning - Services & Technical Solutions with BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc. (BST). In this capacity, I provide infrastructure planning support for long-term database method number portability (LNP) switch implementation within the BST network.
3. My department compiled the attached list of switches for which BellSouth requests an extension. The list identifies each switch by eleven digit Common Language Location Identification code (CLLI), wire center, state and metropolitan statistical area in which the switch is located. To the best of my knowledge, the attached list is a true and accurate identification of the particular switches for which an extension is requested.
4. Implementation of LNP in each end office switch requires vendor installation of switch generic software, LNP software, plus switch processor hardware in those switches where the projected LNP query volume would exhaust switch processor capacity. As of January 31, 1998, the status of vendor installation activity was as follows:


Phase	Number of End Office Switches	Number Vendor Complete	Percent Vendor Complete
Phase I (Atlanta, GA)	84	84	100%
Phase II (Miami, FL; Fort Lauderdale, FL; Orlando, FL; Tampa, FL)	75	74	99%
Phase III (New Orleans, LA; Charlotte, NC; Greensboro, NC; Nashville, TN)	148	81	55%
Phase IV (Jacksonville, FL; West Palm Beach, FL; Louisville, KY; Raleigh, NC; Greenville, SC; Memphis, TN)	162	32	20%
Phase V (Birmingham, AL; Mobile, AL; Baton Rouge, LA; Charleston, SC; Columbia, SC; Knoxville, TN)	115	6	5%
Total	584	277	47%

FURTHER THE AFFIANT SAYETH NOT



Michael F. Donze
Manager, Infrastructure Planning - Services
& Technical Solutions
BellSouth Telecommunications, Inc.

Sworn to and subscribed to before me this
26th day of ~~January~~^{February}, 1998.



Notary Public

My commission expires:

Notary Public, Alabama, State at Large
My Commission Expires 1-16-99
Bonded by Firemen's Insurance
Company of Newark, NJ

BellSouth MSA Switch List

CLLI	Wire Center Name	St	MSA
ACWOGAMA97E	ACWORTH MAIN	GA	ATLANTA
ASTLGAMA94F	AUSTELL	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAAD69F	ADAMSVILLE	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGABH34F	BEN HILL	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGABU84C	BUCKHEAD	GA	ATLANTA
CHMBGAMA45A	CHAMBLEE MAIN	GA	ATLANTA
CHMBGAMARS2	CHAMBLEE MAIN	GA	ATLANTA
SMYRGAGPRS1	GALLERIA PARKWAY	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGABUDS2	BUCKHEAD	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGACD28F	COLUMBIA DRIVE	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGACS33A	COURTLAND ST.	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGACS65C	COURTLAND ST	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGACSDS3	COURTLAND ST	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAEL37C	EAST LAKE	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAEP64A	EAST POINT	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGADLRS1	ATLNGADL (DELTA AIR EAST PT.)	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAYPRS1	ATLNGAYP (DELTA AIR EAST PT.)	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAYRRS1	ATLNGAYR (DELTA AIR EAST PT.)	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAFP36F	FOREST PARK	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAGR24F	GRESHAM	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAHR79E	HOLLYWOOD RD	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAIC29A	INDIAN CREEK	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGALADS1	LAKEWOOD	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAPP34A	PEACHTREE PLACE	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAPPDS2	PEACHTREE PLACE	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGASSDS1	SANDY SPRINGS	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAWD35F	WOODLAND	GA	ATLANTA
ATLNGAWE75F	WEST END	GA	ATLANTA
BWDNGAMARS1	BOWDON MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
RPVLGAMA85C	ROOPVILLE MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
CRTNGAMA83C	CARROLLTON MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
CRVLGAMA38C	CARTERSVILLE MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
ATVLGAMA77A	ADAIRSVILLE MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
KGTVGAMA33A	KINGSTON MAIN	GA	ATLANTA
CVTVNGAMT78C	COVINGTON MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
SCCRGAMA46E	SOCIAL CIRCLE MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
DGVLGAMA94F	DOUGLASVILLE MAIN	GA	ATLANTA
DLLSGAES44A	DALLAS ESS	GA	ATLANTA
FRBNGAEB96A	FAIRBURN ESS	GA	ATLANTA
FYVLGASG46A	FAYETTEVILLE ESS	GA	ATLANTA
PLMTGAMA46C	PALMETTO ESS	GA	ATLANTA
GRFNGAMA22C	GRIFFIN MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
JNBOGAMA47F	JONESBORO	GA	ATLANTA
HMPNGAJW94A	HAMPTON ESS	GA	ATLANTA
LLBNGAMADS1	LILBURN	GA	ATLANTA
MCDNGAGS95A	MCDONOUGH DMS	GA	ATLANTA
MRRWGAMA96F	MORROW	GA	ATLANTA
MRTTGAEA97F	MARIETTA EAST	GA	ATLANTA
MRTTGAMA42G	MARIETTA MAIN	GA	ATLANTA
MRTTGACORS1	MARIETTA B1	GA	ATLANTA
MRTTGADARS1	MARIETTA L12	GA	ATLANTA
NWNNGAMA25C	NEWNAN MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
SENOGAMA59C	SENOIA MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
PTCYGAMA48C	PEACHTREE CITY	GA	ATLANTA
PWSPGAAS94A	POWDER SPRINGS ESS	GA	ATLANTA
RSWLGAMADS1	ROSWELL MAIN	GA	ATLANTA
RVDLGAMA99A	RIVERDALE	GA	ATLANTA
SMYRGAMADS1	SMYRNA	GA	ATLANTA
SNMTGALRDS1	STONE MOUNTAIN	GA	ATLANTA
STBRGANH47C	STOCKBRIDGE ESS	GA	ATLANTA
TUKRGAMADS2	TUCKER	GA	ATLANTA
VLRCGAES45A	VILLA RICA MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
TMPLGAMA56A	TEMPLE MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
WDSTGACR92E	WOODSTOCK ESS	GA	ATLANTA
GTVLGAMARS1	GRANTVILLE MAINE	GA	ATLANTA
CMNGGAMA88C	CUMMING MAINE	GA	ATLANTA